### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

## **PCT**

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

THOMPSON, Dwight, U. Fish & Richardson P.C. P.o. Box 1022 Minneapolis, MN 55440-1022 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 10 January 2008 (10.01.2008)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 09991-169WO1

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/US2005/036936

International filing date (day/month/year)
12 October 2005 (12.10.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year)
15 October 2004 (15.10.2004)

Applicant

FUJIFILM DIMATIX, INC. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Nora Lindner

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt02.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 09991-169WO1	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2005/036936	International filing date (day/month/year) 12 October 2005 (12.10.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 October 2004 (15.10.2004)	-
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant FUJIFILM DIMATIX, INC.			

_			
1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will c not, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	

Date of issuance of this report
24 December 2007 (24.12.2007)

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20. Switzerland

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Date of issuance of this report
24 December 2007 (24.12.2007)

Authorized officer

Nora Lindner
e-mail: pt02.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2005/036936 12.10.2005 15.10.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G06K15/10 G06K15/02 Applicant DIMATIX, INC. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Date of completion of **Authorized Officer** 



this opinion

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaagee form NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo ni Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

PCT/ISA/210

Jacobs, Peter

Telephone No. +31 70 340-1041



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

海野衛門大路的女子 计电路出版版表

International application No. PCT/US2005/036936

Box No. I Basis of the opinion		
With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
■ the international application in the language in which it was filed		
a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).		
2.   This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))		
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
a. type of material:		
☐ a sequence listing		
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material:		
□ on paper		
☐ in electronic form		
c. time of filing/furnishing:		
contained in the international application as filed.		
☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.		
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
4.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
5. Additional comments:		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Scanned Line Leading

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-6,21,22

No: Claims 1,2,7-20,23-32

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-32

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-32

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

我们都的人的孩子 一种的复数形式

- 1 Reference is made to the following document:
  - D1: US 5 825 375 A (DROIT JIMMY L [US] ET AL) 20 October 1998 (1998-10-20)
- 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 15, 23 and 29
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 15, 23 and 29 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses the subject-matter of claim 1 (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method for synchronously printing an image on a workpiece (col. 1: lines 8-12), the method comprising: receiving image data at a location that is remote from a print head (col. 1: lines 57-61; col. 3: lines 32-35); arranging the received image data according to physical parameters of the print head to be used to print the image data on the workpiece (col. 5: lines 4-16); sensing the speed of the workpiece on a workpiece conveyor relative to the print head (col. 2: lines 37-41); detecting the position of the workpiece on a workpiece conveyor (col. 2: lines 32-37); forming a packet of image data (col. 4: lines 53-58); sending the packet of image data to the print head (col. 4: line 64- col. 5: line 6; and using the receipt of a packet of image data as a trigger to cause image data at the print head to be printed substantially immediately on the workpiece (col. 5: lines 4-14: lines 47-53).

The same reasoning applies to independent claims 15, 23 and 29. Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1, 15, 23 and 29 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT). Although D1 does not mention the use of an optical communication path (fiber optic cable) between the controller and the printhead(s) it is considered to be one of the possibilities from which a skilled person would choose in order to transmit data to the printhead. The present application also illustrates the possibility to use a copper cable (page 28: par. [00118]; page 29: par. [00120]; fig. 14) in order to transmit data to the printhead.

Scanned 1/22/2008

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-14, 16-22, 24-28, 30-32

Dependent claims 2-14, 16-22, 24-28, 30-32 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT). See the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

4 ARTICLE 6 PCT

Although claims 1 and 15 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

- 5 ADDITIONAL REMARKS
- 5.1 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.
- 5.2 Independent claims should be in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 5.3 Where possible, claims should be provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

南京山田田市東 一年人的名人的南部市

International application No.

PCT/US2005/036936